

Supreme Court Expands the Scope of Potential Liability for Secondary Actors in Private Actions for Securities Fraud

by James J. Boland

A FREEBORN & PETERS LLP CLIENT ALERT

ABOUT THIS CLIENT ALERT:

The Supreme Court's holding in *Lorenzo* significantly increases the potential liability for secondary actors in private actions under the federal securities laws. Persons who participate in some way in a false statement made by another, may now face liability for their conduct, provided they act with the requisite knowledge or intent.

On March 27, 2019, the United States Supreme Court held that persons who do not themselves make false or misleading statements in connection with the purchase or sale of securities can be primarily liable for those statements in private securities fraud actions if they knowingly participate in disseminating them. *Lorenzo v. Securities and Exchange Commission*, 587 U.S. ____ (2019).



Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 10b-5, promulgated under Section 10(b) of the Securities and Exchange Act, 17 U.S.C. 78(j)(b), makes it unlawful to, among other things, “make any untrue statement of a material fact ... in connection with the purchase or sale of any security.” 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5(b). In *Janus Capital Group, Inc. v. First Derivative Traders*, 564 U.S. 135 (2011), the Supreme Court held that only persons who “make” statements may be liable under this rule, and that for purposes of Rule 10b-5, the maker of a statement is the person or entity with ultimate authority over the statement, its content, and whether and how the statement is communicated. Because there is no private right of action under Section 10(b) or Rule 10b-5 for aiding and abetting, *Central Bank of Denver, N.A. v. First Interstate Bank of Denver, N.A.*, 511 U.S. 164, 191 (1994), the Court held in *Janus* that secondary actors who merely assist a primary violation – e.g., by preparing or disseminating a false statement made by someone else – cannot be liable in a private action under Rule 10b-5(b).

In *Lorenzo*, the SEC sanctioned Francis Lorenzo, an investment bank director, for having duped investors after he sent emails seeking investors for a startup company's debt offering even though he knew its energy-from-waste technology did not work. The SEC found Lorenzo liable in a scheme to defraud investors even though he did not personally make the fraudulent statements in the emails he sent.



“Lorenzo significantly increases the potential liability for secondary actors in private actions under the federal securities laws. Persons, such as lower level company employees following the directions of superiors, who participate in some way in a false statement made by another, may now face liability for their conduct, provided they act with the requisite knowledge or intent.”

The SEC fined Lorenzo \$15,000 and barred him from working in the securities industry for life. Lorenzo appealed to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit. The D.C. Circuit held that in light of *Janus*, only the “maker” of a statement is liable for its falsity under SEC Rule 10b-5(b), Lorenzo could not be charged with “making” false statements under Rule 10b-5(b) because his supervisor asked Lorenzo to send the emails, supplied the central content of the emails, and approved the emails for distribution. Lorenzo’s supervisor was therefore the “maker” of those false statements. Even so, the D.C. Circuit sustained the SEC’s finding that by knowingly disseminating false information to prospective investors, Lorenzo violated other subsections of Rule 10b-5.

The Supreme Court affirmed and Justice Stephen Breyer wrote for the majority that “Congress intended to root out all manner of fraud in the securities industry. And it gave to the commission the tools to accomplish that job.” Although a secondary actor could not be primarily liable for making a false statement under Rule 10b-5(b), the actor could be primarily liable under other provisions of the rule and the securities laws. Specifically the Court held that a person who knowingly disseminates a false statement could be primarily liable for employing a “device, scheme or artifice to defraud” in violation of Section 10(b), Rule 10b-5(a) and Section 17(a)(1) of the Securities Act of 1933, 15 U.S.C. § 77q(a)(1), or engaging in an “act, practice, or course of business which operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit,” in violation of Rule 10b-5(c).

Lorenzo significantly increases the potential liability for secondary actors in private actions under the federal securities laws. Persons, such as lower level company employees following the directions of superiors, who participate in some way in a false statement made by another, may now face liability for their conduct, provided they act with the requisite knowledge or intent.

To learn more about how this could affect you and your company, contact James Boland at (312) 360-6548.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR



James J. Boland

Partner

Chicago Office
New York Office
(312) 360-6548

jboland@freeborn.com

James J. Boland is a Partner focusing on complex commercial litigation and domestic and international arbitration. Mr. Boland has extensive experience and has represented a variety of corporations, businesses and professional firms in matters involving reinsurance (life & health, property & casualty), antitrust, securities fraud, accountant liability, consumer protection, shareholder and derivative disputes, mergers and acquisitions disputes, including post-closing disputes, and a variety of other commercial matters.

ABOUT FREEBORN & PETERS LLP

Freeborn & Peters LLP is a full-service law firm, headquartered in Chicago, with international capabilities and offices in Springfield, Ill.; Richmond, Va.; New York City; and Tampa, Fla. Freeborn is always looking ahead and seeking to find better ways to serve its clients. It takes a proactive approach to ensure its clients are more informed, prepared and able to achieve greater success – not just now, but also in the future. While the firm serves clients across a very broad range of sectors, it has also pioneered an interdisciplinary approach that serves the specific needs of targeted industries.

Freeborn is a firm that genuinely lives up to its core values of integrity, effectiveness, teamwork, caring and commitment, and embodies them through high standards of client service and responsive action. Its lawyers build close and lasting relationships with clients and are driven to help them achieve their legal and business objectives.

For more information visit: www.freeborn.com.

CHICAGO

311 South Wacker Drive
Suite 3000
Chicago, IL 60606
(312) 360-6000
(312) 360-6520 fax

NEW YORK

230 Park Avenue
Suite 630
New York, NY 10169
(212) 218-8760
(212) 218-8761 fax

SPRINGFIELD

217 East Monroe Street
Suite 202
Springfield, IL 62701
(217) 535-1060
(217) 535-1069 fax

RICHMOND

411 East Franklin Street
Suite 200
Richmond, VA 23219
(804) 644-1300
(804) 644-1354 fax

TAMPA

1 Tampa City Center
201 North Franklin Street
Suite 3550
Tampa, FL 33602
(813) 488-2920

Disclaimer: This publication is made available for educational purposes only, as well as to provide general information about the law, not specific legal advice. It does not establish an attorney/client relationship between you and Freeborn & Peters LLP, and should not be used as a substitute for competent legal advice from a licensed professional in your state.

© 2019 Freeborn & Peters LLP. All rights reserved. Permission is granted to copy and forward all articles and text as long as proper attribution to Freeborn & Peters LLP is provided and this copyright statement is reproduced.